

# Epidemiology of childhood enterovirus infections in Hangzhou, China, 2019–2023



Jian Sun<sup>1</sup>, Yajun Guo<sup>2\*</sup>, Lin Li<sup>3</sup>, Yaling Li<sup>4</sup>, Hangyu Zhou<sup>5,6</sup> and We Li<sup>2</sup>

#### **Abstract**

Human enteroviruses are highly prevalent world-wide. Up to more than 100 subtypes of enteroviruses can cause several diseases, including encephalitis, meningitis, myocarditis, hand-foot-mouth disease, conjunctivitis, respiratory diseases, and gastrointestinal diseases, thus posing a great threat to human health. This study aimed to investigate the epidemiological characteristics of enterovirus in children in Hangzhou, China before and after the COVID-19 outbreak. Systematic monitoring of enterovirus infections was performed by collecting samples from the children admitted to the inpatient wards and outpatient departments in the Children's Hospital, Zhejiang University School of Medicine, between January 2019 and May 2023. A commercial real-time RT PCR kit was utilized to detect enteroviruses. Among the 34,152 samples collected, 1162 samples, accounting for 3.4% of the samples, were tested positive for enteroviruses. The annual positive rates of the enteroviruses were 5.46%, 1.15%, 4.43%, 1.62%, and 1.96% in 2019, 2020, 2021, 2022, and May 2023, respectively. The positivity rate of the enteroviruses was highest among children aged 3–5 years and 5–7 years. Moreover, the monthly positivity rate of enterovirus infection ranged from 0.32% to 10.38%, with a peak in June and July. Serotypes, especially EV71 and CA16, causing severe symptoms such as HFMD, were decreasing, while the proportion of unidentified serotypes was on the rise. The incidence of enteroviruses in Hangzhou was higher in children aged 1–3 years and 7–18 years.

**Keywords** Enterovirus, Epidemiology, Children, COVID-19

#### \*Correspondence:

Yajun Guo

guoyj@zju.edu.cn

<sup>1</sup>Department of Stomatology, The First Affiliated Hospital, Zhejiang University School of Medicine, Hangzhou310003, China <sup>2</sup>Department of Clinical Laboratory, The Children's Hospital Zhejiang University School of Medicine, National Clinical Research Center for Child Health, 3333 Binsheng road, Hangzhou 310052, China <sup>3</sup>Department of Infectious Diseases, College of Clinical Medicine for

Obstetrics & Gynecology and Pediatrics, Fujian Children's Hospital (Fujian Branch of Shanghai Children's Medical Center), National Regional Medical Center, Fujian Medical University, Fuzhou, China

4 Zhejiang LAB, Hangzhou, China

5 Institute of Systems Medicine, Chinese Academy of Medical Sciences and Peking Union Medical College, Beijing, China 6 Suzhou Institute of Systems Medicine, Suzhou, China

### **Introduction**

Enteroviruses (EVs) are among the most common viruses infecting humans worldwide. More than 100 types are currently known to infect humans, most of which are frequently detected globally  $[1-3]$  $[1-3]$  $[1-3]$ . EVs are single-stranded, positive-sense RNA viruses of the *Picornaviridae* family, whose clinical manifestations include cutaneous, visceral, and neurological symptoms [\[4](#page-8-2), [5](#page-8-3)]. More importantly, EVs are known to cause several diseases, including encephalitis, meningitis, myocarditis, hand-foot-mouth disease (HFMD), conjunctivitis, respiratory diseases, and gastrointestinal diseases; however, most of these infections remain asymptomatic  $[6–12]$  $[6–12]$ . EVs can be further classified into four species, including *Enterovirus A* (20 serotypes such as EV71 and coxsackievirus A), *Enterovirus B* (59 serotypes), *Enterovirus C* (21 serotypes), and



© The Author(s) 2024. **Open Access** This article is licensed under a Creative Commons Attribution-NonCommercial-NoDerivatives 4.0 International License, which permits any non-commercial use, sharing, distribution and reproduction in any medium or format, as long as you give appropriate credit to the original author(s) and the source, provide a link to the Creative Commons licence, and indicate if you modified the licensed material. You do not have permission under this licence to share adapted material derived from this article or parts of it. The images or other third party material in this article are included in the article's Creative Commons licence, unless indicated otherwise in a credit line to the material. If material is not included in the article's Creative Commons licence and your intended use is not permitted by statutory regulation or exceeds the permitted use, you will need to obtain permission directly from the copyright holder. To view a copy of this licence, visit [http://](http://creativecommons.org/licenses/by-nc-nd/4.0/) [creativecommons.org/licenses/by-nc-nd/4.0/.](http://creativecommons.org/licenses/by-nc-nd/4.0/)

<span id="page-1-0"></span>

Fig. 1 The positivity rate of enteroviruses (EVs) from 2019 to May 2023 in Hangzhou, China

*Enterovirus D* (4 serotypes) [[4,](#page-8-2) [13](#page-8-6)]. Among these, enterovirus 71 (EV71), coxsackievirus A16 (CA16), coxsackievirus A6 (CA6), and coxsackievirus A10 (CA10) are the major subtypes of EVs causing hand-foot-mouth disease (HFMD) [[14](#page-8-7)[–20](#page-8-8)], accompanied with serious complications [[21\]](#page-8-9). EV71 spread in the Netherlands as early as 1963, and its first successful isolation was in 1969 in California, USA [\[22](#page-8-10)]. Moreover, EV71 and CA16 are the most common causative pathogens of HFMD in Zhejiang Province, similar to other provinces in China [[23](#page-8-11)[–26](#page-8-12)]. Our previous study evaluated the epidemiology of childhood enterovirus infections in Hangzhou from January 1, 2010, to December 31, 2012. Thus, to better understand the epidemiology of EV infections pre-COVID-19 period to the post-COVID-19 period in Hangzhou, East China, we conducted systematic surveillance of EV infections in 34,152 children from Hangzhou, China, in the present study.

## **Methods and methods**

#### **Patients**

The study was conducted from January, 2019 to May, 2023, and the participants were 34,152 children from the inpatient wards and outpatient departments of the Children's Hospital of Zhejiang University School of Medicine. The inclusion criteria were: (1) all patients who visited the Children's Hospital of Zhejiang University School of Medicine between January, 2019 and May 2023, (2) aged<18 years with suspected EV infection. The study was approved by the Ethics Committee of the Children's Hospital Affiliated to Zhejiang University School of Medicine, with informed consent from patients.

<span id="page-1-1"></span>

Fig. 2 Age distribution of enterovirus infections among children younger than 18 years

<span id="page-2-0"></span>

**Fig. 3 A**: Gender composition of the individuals younger than 18 years with positive cases. **B**: Age composition of the individuals younger than 18 years with positive cases

#### **Enterovirus detection**

Throat swabs, stool, liquor pericardii, ascites, sputum or cerebrospinal fluid specimens were collected from symptomatic children with suspected enterovirus infection. Personal information on the demographic factors and medical history were obtained from their guardians using a standard questionnaire. RNA was extracted from each specimen using a viral RNA extraction kit (Nucleic acid

extraction or purification reagent, Su Tai Medical Device Registration 20180121, mole Bioscience and Liferiver™, China). The detection of EVs (EV A-D) and further classification of EV71 and CVA16 for the EV-positive samples were performed on an ABI7500 system using a commercial one-step real-time RT–PCR assay kit (EV71, CA16 and Other Enterovirual Nucleic Acid Test Kit, mole Bioscience and Liferiver™, China). The real-time RT-PCR

<span id="page-2-1"></span>

Fig. 4 Monthly distribution of enterovirus infection among children younger than 18 years

<span id="page-3-0"></span>

Fig. 5 Positivity rate distribution of enteroviruses (EVs) in each month from 2019 to May 2023

was conducted under the following conditions: 15 min at 50 °C and 5 min at 95 °C, followed by 40 cycles of 15 s at 94 °C and 45 s at 55 °C. Samples with cycle threshold (CT) values less than 35.0 were identified as positive.

#### **Statistical analysis**

Statistical analysis was performed using the Chi-square  $(\chi 2)$  test and the statistical significance was calculated using SPSS 17.0 version (SPSS Inc., Chicago, IL, USA).

#### **Results**

#### **Prevalence of the enteroviruses among the patients**

Out of 34,152 samples collected during the study period, 1162 (3.4%) tested positive for EV (Fig. [3A](#page-2-0)). The positivity rates among male and female patients were 3.58% (699/19535) and 3.17% (463/14617), respectively, which was significantly different (*P*=0.0402). Out of all hospitalized patients, 3.28% (1115/33989) had a positive EV result, while the EV infection was proved in a significantly higher proportion of patients from outpatient care, 28.83% (47/163) (*P*<0.0001, Fig. [7](#page-4-0)).

#### **The epidemiological characteristics of enterovirus infection**

The annual EV positive rates ranged from 1.15% observed in 2020 to 5.46% recorded in 2019. The proportion of positive patients in 2019 was significantly higher compared to all other years, while the positivity rate detected in 2020 was significantly lower than rates recorded in all years. Another statistically important difference was found between the proportion of EV-positive patients in 2021 (4.43%) which was higher in comparison to 2020 (1.15%), 2022 (1.62%), and 2023 (1.96%) (Fig. [1\)](#page-1-0). The representatives of the groups containing the same letters in Fig. [1](#page-1-0) exhibit no significant statistical difference, while the representatives of the groups containing different letters demonstrate statistically significant disparities.

The EV positivity rate increased with age from 0.99% in the age group of patients less than one-month-old to 8.42% among children 5–7 years of age. Significantly higher positivity rate was found in age group 5–7 years compared to the age group of  $1-3$  years  $(5.31\%)$ , more than 7-years (4.88%), one-month-old to 1-year (3.32%), less than one-month-old (0.99%) (Fig. [2](#page-1-1)).

<span id="page-3-1"></span>

Fig. 6 Positivity rate of different types of enterovirus infection among children younger than 18 years

<span id="page-4-0"></span>

**Fig. 7** Positivity rate distribution of enterovirus infection among patients of different health service types younger than 18 years

The monthly EV detection rates varied throughout the study period, peaking in July 2019 (15.53%), June 2021 (15.06%), and July 2022 (6.67%). In 2023, the highest proportion of positive patients was recorded in May (6.25%) after which the surveillance was ended. The only year without the prominent pick was 2020, during which the highest positivity rates were detected in April (1.67%), August  $(2.25\%)$  $(2.25\%)$  $(2.25\%)$ , and December  $(1.87\%)$  (Fig. 5). The age group of 1 to 3 years had the highest proportion (22.46%) among all the positive patients, while those aged less than one-month-old, one-month-old to one year, 3–5 years, 5–7 years, and >7 years accounted for 12.91%, 16.18%, 17.13%, 17.38%, 13.94% of the participants, respectively (Fig. [3,](#page-2-0) B).

The positive rate of EVs exhibited variability throughout the study period; however, a distinct peak was observed in June and July (Fig. [4](#page-2-1)), indicating significant seasonality in EV infection. The positive rates during these months were significantly higher (*P*<0.0001) compared to other months, which showed a gradual decline in positive rates (Fig. [4](#page-2-1)).

The distribution pattern of enteroviruses (EVs) was also analyzed. CA16 displayed a trend characterized by an initial increase followed by a decline, whereas EV71 demonstrated a continuous decrease. In contrast, infections of non-CA16 and non-EV71 subtypes exhibited a rising trend (Fig.  $6$ ). The positive rate of CA16 in 2021 was significantly higher (*P*<0.0001) compared to that of EV71. Furthermore, from 2019 to 2023, the positive rates for both non-CA16 and non-EV71 subtypes were significantly elevated (*P*<0.0001) relative to those of CA16 and EV71.The positive rate for the non-CA16 and non-EV71 enterovirus subtype exhibited a fluctuation pattern characterized by a decline, followed by an increase, and then another decline. The significantly highest positive rate, reaching 5.3% (562/10597), was observed in 2019, while the significantly lowest positive rate of 1.13% (65/5736) occurred in 2020. Notably, the positive rate in

<span id="page-4-1"></span>

**Fig. 8** Positivity rate distribution of enterovirus infection among different sample types from 2019 to May 2023

<span id="page-5-1"></span>

**Fig. 9** Age distribution of CA16, EV71 and non-CA16 and non-EV7 infection among children younger than 18 years

2021 (3.71%, 288/7765) was significantly higher than that in 2020 (1.13%) and in 2022 (1.6%, 113/7044), as well as in 2023 (1.96%, 59/3010) (*P*<0.0001). However, there was no significant difference in the positive rates between 2022 and 2023.

Among patients testing positive for CA16, EV71, non-CA16 and non-EV71, the proportions of male cases were as follows: 0.19% (38/19535) for CA16, 0.03% (6/19535) for EV71, 3.35% (655/19535) for non-CA16 and non-EV71, with female proportions at 0.18% (27/14617), 0.03% (4/14617), and 2.96% (432/14617), respectively (Fig. [10\)](#page-5-0). Notably, the positive rates of non-CA16 and non-EV71 cases demonstrated a statistically significant difference between males and females (*P*=0.0428).

Among patients who underwent throat swab testing, the overall positive rate for EVs was 9.5% (241/2531), with 0.99% (25/2531) testing positive for CA16 and 0.04% (1/2531) positive for EV71. The remaining cases, which accounted for 8.5% (215/2531), were identified as non-EV71 and non-CA16 strains. Similarly, in fecal sample analyses, the corresponding proportions were 3.26% (713/21871) for positive EVs, 0.18% (39/21871) for CA16, 0.04% (9/21871) for EV71, and 3.04% (665/21871) for non-EV71 and non-CA16 strains. Notably, cerebrospinal fluid (CSF) samples yielded no positive results for EV71, while CA16 positive samples constituted a minimal 0.01% (1/9740). Additionally, the positivity rates for non-EV71 and non-CA16 enteroviruses were found to be 2.13% (207/9740) (Fig. [8\)](#page-4-1).

The prevalence of CA16 in the 3–5 years age group (0.69%, 18/2621) was significantly higher than in other age groups, with the exception of the 1–3 years age group (0.57%, 28/4912). Furthermore, the positivity rate of CA16 among children aged one month to one year (0.12%, 7/5668) markedly exceeded that of the less than one month age group (0.02%, 3/15227) and those older than seven years (0%, 0/3323). However, it was significantly lower than the positivity rates observed in the

<span id="page-5-0"></span>

Fig. 10 Gender distribution of CA16, EV71 and non-CA16 and non-EV7 infection among children younger than 18 years from 2019 to May 2023

<span id="page-6-0"></span>

Fig. 11 Positivity rate distribution of enterovirus infection among patients of different health service types younger than 18 years

3–5 years age group (0.69%, 18/2621), the 1–3 years age group  $(0.57\%, 28/4912)$ , and the  $5-7$  years age group (0.37%, 9/2401).

In the case of EV71, significant differences were noted, particularly when comparing the positivity rate in the 5–7 years age group (0.12%, 3/2401) to both the less than one month age group (0.02%, 3/15227) and the one month to one year age group (0%, 0/5668).

Additionally, the positivity rate for patients testing positive for non-CA16 and non-EV71 demonstrated a notable increase across various age groups: less than one month (0.95%, 144/15227), one month to one year (3.19%, 181/5668), one to three years (4.72%, 232/4912), and those older than seven years (4.85%, 161/3323). The highest positivity rates were observed in the 3–5 years (6.83%, 179/2621) and 5–7 years (7.91%, 190/2401) age groups, which surpassed all other age groups, although no statistically significant difference was found between these two groups.

Moreover, within each age group, the detection rates of non-CA16 and non-EV71 were significantly higher than those of CA16 and EV71 (Fig. [9\)](#page-5-1).

Among inpatients, the positive rates for CA16, EV71, non-CA16 and non-EV71 cases were recorded at 0.18% (61/33989), 0.03% (10/33989), and 3.07% (1044/33989), respectively. In contrast, among outpatients, the corresponding rates were significantly different, with CA16 positivity at 2.45% (4/163), no cases of EV71 detected, and a notably higher rate of non-CA16 positivity at 26.38% (43/163). The rates of non-CA16 and non-EV71 positivity, as well as the CA16 positivity rate among outpatients, were significantly greater than those observed in inpatients (Fig. [11](#page-6-0)).

Among patients presenting with neuroinvasive symptoms, the proportions of CA16-positive, EV71-positive, non-CA16, and non-EV71 cases were 5.45% (39/715), 0.7% (5/715), and 93.85% (671/715), respectively. Conversely, in patients without symptoms of neurological infection, the respective figures were 5.82% (26/447), 1.12% (5/447), and 93.06% (416/447) (Fig. [12\)](#page-6-1).

<span id="page-6-1"></span>

**Fig. 12** Positivity rate distribution of CA16, EV71 and non-CA16 and non-EV7 infection among children with or without neuroinvasive symbols from 2019 to May 2023

#### **Discussion**

Humans are generally considered to be the only hosts of human EVs, and it has been noted that asymptomatic EV infections are sources of HFMD. The virus can be detected in the pharynx and stool of an infected person several days before the onset of illness, and it is usually most contagious for a week after symptoms appear [\[27](#page-8-13)]. EVs are known to elicit a range of clinical manifestations that encompass dermatological, visceral, and neurological disorders [[4\]](#page-8-2). Illnesses such as hand, foot, and mouth disease (HFMD), herpangina, and viral meningitis are prominently associated with these viral infections. This multifaceted symptomatology underscores the significant impact of enteroviruses on public health and highlights the necessity for continued epidemiological research in this area. In our previous study [\[28](#page-8-14)], the positivity rate of EVs in Hangzhou was 14.23% in 2012, which was significantly reduced compared with that in 2010 (32.78%). The significant decrease in the positivity rate of EVs may be due to the decrease in HFMD incidences in China in 2011 and 2012 [\[17](#page-8-15), [28–](#page-8-14)[30](#page-8-16)]. In the present study, the positivity rates of EVs were 5.46%, 1.15%, 4.40%, 1.60%, and 2.07% in 2019, 2020, 2021, 2022, and May 2023, respectively. Moreover, the distribution analysis of EVs revealed that the positivity rate of EV71 exhibited a downward trend. In 2020, the first year after the outbreak of COVID-19, the positivity rates of EVs decreased significantly compared to 2019. Intensive epidemic prevention and control measures, such as school closures for preschool and school-age children, enhanced hand hygiene, improved management of public places, continued mask protection, restricted crowd gatherings, and enhanced environmental disinfection, might have partially limited the transmission of EVs, resulting in reduced positive rates. However, the positive rates of EVS increased again in 2021, which might have been related to the increase in HFMD in Zhejiang Province, China in 2021. Wu et al. [[31\]](#page-8-17) found that the combined incidence of CA16, EV71 and other enteroviruses was 4.48 cases per 100,000 people in 2020, compared to 6.32 cases per 100,000 people in 2021. Notably, the incidence of other infectious diseases decreased significantly during the COVID-19 epidemic but gradually increased in 2021 [[32\]](#page-9-0).

Some studies reported that preschool children were at a higher risk of infection with EV71 [[33](#page-9-1), [34](#page-9-2)] since children aged 2–4 years accounted for 50% of probable and confirmed EV71-associated HFMD cases [\[35](#page-9-3)]. In the current study, the positive rate of EVs increased with age from 0.99% in 0–1 months old to 7.60% in 3 to 5-yearolds and 8.42% in 5 to 7-year-olds. This indicated that the EV age distribution shifted towards older individuals. Starting in 2016, China began to promote three monovalent EV71 vaccines [[34](#page-9-2), [36\]](#page-9-4), and Zhejiang Province also began EV71 vaccination at almost the same time. Thus,

the possible reason for the age distribution shift in the positive rates of EVs might be the increased resistance to EV71 infection among the previously high-risk populations after vaccination [[34,](#page-9-2) [37](#page-9-5)].

In our previous study [\[28](#page-8-14)], we found that EV infections occurred year-round but with a well-defined seasonality. According to our present study, May to June was the peak season for EV infections. Humidity and temperature seem to play a potential role in seasonal epidemics of HFMD [\[38](#page-9-6)[–42](#page-9-7)]. Urashima et al. [\[39](#page-9-8)] reported that EV inactivation is faster during the dry season than in the rainy season, which could explain the seasonality. A similar result was reported by Wang et al. [\[43\]](#page-9-9). While any causal relationship between climate and HFMD is unclear, studies speculate that a lower incidence of HFMD might be due to reduced social contact during the winter months in temperate areas [[44,](#page-9-10) [45\]](#page-9-11). Thus, there is a need to further explore the correlation between the positivity rate of EVs, climate and seasonal social contact.

Historically, EV71, CA16, CA6, and CA10 have all caused HFMD outbreaks worldwide [[46\]](#page-9-12). In Hangzhou, China, the positive rate of EV71 and CA16 declined year by year from 2019 to May 2023 until it reached zero. A probable reason may be the increased use of the EV71 vaccine [[27,](#page-8-13) [31](#page-8-17), [34,](#page-9-2) [46](#page-9-12)], reduced population susceptibility [[46](#page-9-12)], and reduced HFMD cases. Another possible explanation for this could be the circulation changes of EV subtypes in Hangzhou, with increasing EV71 and decreasing CA16 circulation. In contrast, our data showed a continued rise in the positivity rate of other EVs from 2019 to May 2023. The EV71 vaccine currently in the market in China does not induce cross-immunity to other EVs; thus, it is urgent to develop a multivalent EV vaccine. Furthermore, it is necessary to monitor all EV subtypes to understand their population, age, disease type, region, and season so as to provide a basis for disease prevention and control.

#### **Conclusion**

In a comprehensive analysis of enterovirus infections among children in Hangzhou from 2019 to 2023, we observed notable variations in the prevalence of enterovirus types (CA16, EV71, non-CA16, and non-EV71) related to factors such as year, month, gender, age, health service type, and neurological symptoms. On the whole, infections caused by CA16 and EV71 have exhibited a declining trend, whereas cases of non-CA16 and non-EV71 subtypes have increased. These findings underscore the necessity for future prevention and control strategies to prioritize the emerging subtypes and to enhance surveillance and preventive measures within vulnerable populations. Overall, this study offers valuable insights into the epidemiological patterns of enteroviruses in the pediatric population of Zhejiang Province and establishes

#### **Acknowledgements**

Not applicable.

#### **Author contributions**

SJ, ZHY and LW designed the study. LW and GYJ performed the real-time PCR. LL, LYL analyzed the data, and all authors participated in writing and revising the manuscript. All authors have read and approved the final manuscript.

#### **Funding**

This study was supported by the science and technology projects in Zhejiang Province (LGC21H200004).

#### **Data availability**

No datasets were generated or analysed during the current study.

#### **Declarations**

#### **Ethics approval and consent to participate**

The study was approved by the Ethics Committee of the Children's Hospital Affiliated to Zhejiang University School of Medicine(2023-IRB-0242-P-01).

#### **Consent for publication**

Not applicable.

#### **Competing interests**

The authors declare no competing interests.

Received: 27 November 2023 / Accepted: 14 August 2024 Published online: 26 August 2024

#### **References**

- <span id="page-8-0"></span>1. Khetsuriani N, Lamonte-Fowlkes A, Oberst S, Pallansch MA. Enterovirus surveillance–United States, 1970–2005. Morbidity and mortality weekly report Surveillance summaries (Washington, DC: 2002) 2006, 55(8): 1–20.
- 2. Janes VA, Minnaar R, Koen G, van Eijk H, Dijkman-de Haan K, Pajkrt D, Wolthers KC, Benschop KS. Presence of human non-polio enterovirus and parechovirus genotypes in an Amsterdam hospital in 2007 to 2011 compared to national and international published surveillance data: a comprehensive review. Eurosurveillance. 2014;19(46):20964.
- <span id="page-8-1"></span>Bubba L, Broberg EK, Jasir A, Simmonds P, Harvala H, Redlberger-Fritz M, Nikolaeva-Glomb L, Havlíčková M, Rainetova P, Fischer TK, Midgley SE, Epštein J, Blomqvist S, Böttcher S, Keeren K, Bujaki E, Farkas Á, Baldvinsdóttir GE, Morley U, De Gascun C, Pellegrinelli L, Piralla A, Martinuka O, Zamjatina N, Griškevičius A, Nguyen T, Dudman SG, Numanovic S, Wieczorek M, Guiomar R, Costa I, Cristina T, Bopegamage S, Pastuchova K, Berginc N, Cabrerizo M, González-Sanz R, Zakikhany K, Hauzenberger E, Benschop K, Duizer E, Dunning J, Celma C, McKenna J, Feeney S, Templeton K, Moore C, Cottrell S. Circulation of non-polio enteroviruses in 24 EU and EEA countries between 2015 and 2017: a retrospective surveillance study. Lancet Infect Dis. 2020;20(3):350–61.
- <span id="page-8-2"></span>4. Solomon T, Lewthwaite P, Perera D, Cardosa MJ, McMinn P, Ooi MH. Virology, epidemiology, pathogenesis, and control of enterovirus 71. Lancet Infect Dis. 2010;10(11):778–90.
- <span id="page-8-3"></span>5. Ho M, Chen ER, Hsu KH, Twu SJ, Chen KT, Tsai SF, Wang JR, Shih SR. An epidemic of enterovirus 71 infection in Taiwan. Taiwan Enterovirus Epidemic Working Group. N Engl J Med. 1999;341(13):929–35.
- <span id="page-8-4"></span>6. Aswathyraj S, Arunkumar G, Alidjinou EK, Hober D. Hand, foot and mouth disease (HFMD): emerging epidemiology and the need for a vaccine strategy. Med Microbiol Immunol. 2016;205(5):397–407.
- 7. Gaaloul I, Riabi S, Evans M, Hunter T, Huber S, Aouni M. Coxsackievirus B heart infections and their putative contribution to sudden unexpected death: an 8-year review of patients and victims in the coastal region of Tunisia. Forensic Sci Int. 2016;268:73–80.
- Royston L, Tapparel C. Rhinoviruses and respiratory enteroviruses: not as simple as ABC. Viruses 2016, 8(1).
- 9. Rudolph H, Schroten H, Tenenbaum T. Enterovirus infections of the Central Nervous System in Children: an update. Pediatr Infect Dis J. 2016;35(5):567–9.
- 10. Brouwer L, van der Sanden SMG, Calis JCJ, Bruning AHL, Wang S, Wildenbeest JG, Rebers SPH, Phiri KS, Westerhuis BM, van Hensbroek MB, Pajkrt D, Wolthers KC. High frequency of Polio-like Enterovirus C strains with differential clustering of CVA-13 and EV-C99 subgenotypes in a cohort of Malawian children. Arch Virol. 2018;163(10):2645–53.
- 11. Gosert R, Heininger U, Hirsch HH. Enterovirus detection in patients with acute gastroenteritis in Switzerland. J Med Virol. 2018;90(4):685–91.
- <span id="page-8-5"></span>12. Sousa IP Jr., Burlandy FM, Ferreira JL, Alves JCS, Sousa-Júnior EC, Tavares FN. Re-emergence of a coxsackievirus A24 variant causing acute hemorrhagic conjunctivitis in Brazil from 2017 to 2018. 2019, 164(4): 1181–5.
- <span id="page-8-6"></span>13. Lee MS, Chang LY. Development of enterovirus 71 vaccines. Expert Rev Vaccines. 2010;9(2):149–56.
- <span id="page-8-7"></span>14. Ni H, Yi B, Yin J, Fang T, He T, Du Y, Wang J, Zhang H, Xie L, Ding Y, Gu W, Zhang S, Han Y, Dong H, Su T, Xu G, Cao G. Epidemiological and etiological characteristics of hand, foot, and mouth disease in Ningbo, China, 2008–2011. Journal of clinical virology: the official publication of the Pan American Society for Clinical Virology 2012, 54(4): 342–8.
- 15. Zhu Q, Hao Y, Ma J, Yu S, Wang Y. Surveillance of hand, foot, and mouth disease in mainland China (2008–2009). Biomed Environ Sci: BES. 2011;24(4):349–56.
- 16. Fan X, Jiang J, Liu Y, Huang X, Wang P, Liu L, Wang J, Chen W, Wu W, Xu B. Detection of human enterovirus 71 and Coxsackievirus A16 in an outbreak of hand, foot, and mouth disease in Henan Province, China in 2009. Virus Genes. 2013;46(1):1–9.
- <span id="page-8-15"></span>17. Qiaoyun F, Xiongfei J, Lihuan L, Angao X. Epidemiology and etiological characteristics of hand, foot and mouth disease in Huizhou City between 2008 and 2011. Arch Virol. 2013;158(4):895–9.
- 18. Du J, Wang X, Hu Y, Li Z, Li Y, Sun S, Yang F, Jin Q. Changing aetiology of hand, foot and mouth disease in Linyi, China, 2009–2011. Clinical microbiology and infection: the official publication of the European Society of Clinical Microbiology and Infectious diseases 2014, 20(1): O47–49.
- 19. Zeng M, El Khatib NF, Tu S, Ren P, Xu S, Zhu Q, Mo X, Pu D, Wang X, Altmeyer R. Seroepidemiology of Enterovirus 71 infection prior to the 2011 season in children in Shanghai. J Clin Virol. 2012;53(4):285–9.
- <span id="page-8-8"></span>20. Li S, Cai C, Feng J, Li X, Wang Y, Yang J, Chen Z. Peripheral T lymphocyte subset imbalances in children with enterovirus 71-induced hand, foot and mouth disease. Virus Res. 2014;180:84–91.
- <span id="page-8-9"></span>21. Jin Y, Li D, Sun T, Du Y, Gao Y, Ding R, Ji W, Zhang W, Yang H, Chen S, Duan G. Pathological features of Enterovirus 71-Associated brain and lung damage in mice based on quantitative proteomic analysis. Front Microbiol. 2021;12:663019.
- <span id="page-8-10"></span>22. Kung YA, Hung CT, Liu YC, Shih SR. Update on the development of enterovirus 71 vaccines. Expert Opin Biol Ther. 2014;14(10):1455–64.
- <span id="page-8-11"></span>23. Chen M, He S, Yan Q, Xu X, Wu W, Ge S, Zhang S, Chen M, Xia N. Severe hand, foot and mouth disease associated with Coxsackievirus A10 infections in Xiamen, China in 2015. J Clin Virol. 2017;93:20–4.
- 24. Lai FF, Yan Q, Ge SX, Tang X, Chen RJ, Xu HM. Epidemiologic and etiologic characteristics of hand, foot, and mouth disease in Chongqing, China between 2010 and 2013. J Med Virol. 2016;88(3):408–16.
- 25. Wang Z, Lv H, Zhu W, Mo Z, Mao G, Wang X, Lou X, Chen Y. Epidemiologic features of Enterovirus 71-Associated Hand-Foot-and-Mouth Disease from 2009 to 2013 in Zhejiang, China. Int J Environ Res Public Health 2016, 14(1).
- <span id="page-8-12"></span>26. Li Y, Chang Z, Wu P, Liao Q, Liu F, Zheng Y, Luo L, Zhou Y, Chen Q, Yu S, Guo C, Chen Z, Long L, Zhao S, Yang B, Yu H, Cowling BJ. Emerging Enteroviruses Causing Hand, Foot and Mouth Disease, China, 2010–2016. Emerging infectious diseases 2018, 24(10): 1902–1906.
- <span id="page-8-13"></span>27. Zhu P, Ji W, Li D, Li Z, Chen Y, Dai B, Han S, Chen S, Jin Y, Duan G. Current status of hand-foot-and-mouth disease. J Biomed Sci. 2023;30(1):15.
- <span id="page-8-14"></span>28. Li W, Zhang X, Chen X, Cheng Y-P, Wu Y-D, Shu Q, Chen X-J, Shang S-Q. Epidemiology of childhood enterovirus infections in Hangzhou, China. Virol J. 2015;12(1):58.
- 29. Xu GZ, Ni HX, Yi B, He TF, Dong HJ, Fang T, Gu WZ, Xie L. Epidemiological and etiological characteristics of hand-foot-mouth disease in Ningbo, Zhejiang Province, 2008–2011. Zhonghua Liu Xing Bing Xue Za Zhi = Zhonghua Liuxingbingxue Zazhi. 2013;34(4):361–5.
- <span id="page-8-16"></span>30. Zhang S, Zhao J. Spatio-temporal epidemiology of hand, foot and mouth disease in Liaocheng City, North China. Experimental Therapeutic Med. 2015;9(3):811–6.
- <span id="page-8-17"></span>31. Wu H, Xue M, Wu C, Lu Q, Ding Z, Wang X, Fu T, Yang K, Lin J. Trend of hand, foot, and mouth disease from 2010 to 2021 and estimation of the reduction

in enterovirus 71 infection after vaccine use in Zhejiang Province, China. 2022, 17(9): e0274421.

- <span id="page-9-0"></span>32. Xiao J, Dai J, Hu J, Liu T, Gong D, Li X, Kang M, Zhou Y, Li Y, Quan Y, He G, Zhong R, Zhu Z, Huang Q, Zhang Y, Huang J, Du Q, Li Y, Song T, Hu W, Zhong H, Ma W. Co-benefits of nonpharmaceutical intervention against COVID-19 on infectious diseases in China: a large population-based observational study. Lancet Reg Health Western Pac. 2021;17:100282.
- <span id="page-9-1"></span>33. Koh WM, Bogich T, Siegel K, Jin J, Chong EY, Tan CY, Chen MI, Horby P, Cook AR. The epidemiology of Hand, Foot and Mouth Disease in Asia: a systematic review and analysis. Pediatr Infect Dis J. 2016;35(10):e285–300.
- <span id="page-9-2"></span>34. Wang J, Jiang L, Zhang C, He W, Tan Y, Ning C. The changes in the epidemiology of hand, foot, and mouth disease after the introduction of the EV-A71 vaccine. Vaccine. 2021;39(25):3319–23.
- <span id="page-9-3"></span>35. Xing W, Liao Q, Viboud C, Zhang J, Sun J, Wu JT, Chang Z, Liu F, Fang VJ, Zheng Y, Cowling BJ, Varma JK, Farrar JJ, Leung GM, Yu H. Hand, foot, and mouth disease in China, 2008-12: an epidemiological study. Lancet Infect Dis. 2014;14(4):308–18.
- <span id="page-9-4"></span>36. Jiang L, Jiang H, Tian X, Xia X, Huang T. Epidemiological characteristics of hand, foot, and mouth disease in Yunnan Province, China, 2008–2019. BMC Infect Dis. 2021;21(1):751.
- <span id="page-9-5"></span>37. Yang B, Wu P, Wu JT, Lau EH, Leung GM, Yu H, Cowling BJ. Seroprevalence of Enterovirus 71 antibody among children in China: a systematic review and Meta-analysis. Pediatr Infect Dis J. 2015;34(12):1399–406.
- <span id="page-9-6"></span>38. Deng T, Huang Y, Yu S, Gu J, Huang C, Xiao G, Hao Y. Spatial-temporal clusters and risk factors of hand, foot, and mouth disease at the district level in Guangdong Province, China. PLoS ONE. 2013;8(2):e56943.
- <span id="page-9-8"></span>39. Urashima M, Shindo N, Okabe N. Seasonal models of herpangina and handfoot-mouth disease to simulate annual fluctuations in urban warming in Tokyo. Jpn J Infect Dis. 2003;56(2):48–53.
- 40. Chang HL, Chio CP, Su HJ, Liao CM, Lin CY, Shau WY, Chi YC, Cheng YT, Chou YL, Li CY, Chen KL, Chen KT. The association between enterovirus 71 infections and meteorological parameters in Taiwan. PLoS ONE. 2012;7(10):e46845.
- 41. Ma E, Lam T, Wong C, Chuang SK. Is hand, foot and mouth disease associated with meteorological parameters? Epidemiol Infect. 2010;138(12):1779–88.
- <span id="page-9-7"></span>42. Huang Y, Deng T, Yu S, Gu J, Huang C, Xiao G, Hao Y. Effect of meteorological variables on the incidence of hand, foot, and mouth disease in children: a time-series analysis in Guangzhou, China. BMC Infect Dis. 2013;13:134.
- <span id="page-9-9"></span>43. Wang JF, Guo YS, Christakos G, Yang WZ, Liao YL, Li ZJ, Li XZ, Lai SJ, Chen HY. Hand, foot and mouth disease: spatiotemporal transmission and climate. Int J Health Geogr. 2011;10:25.
- <span id="page-9-10"></span>44. Onozuka D, Hashizume M. The influence of temperature and humidity on the incidence of hand, foot, and mouth disease in Japan. Sci Total Environ. 2011;410–411:119–25.
- <span id="page-9-11"></span>45. Bélanger M, Gray-Donald K, O'Loughlin J, Paradis G, Hanley J. Influence of weather conditions and season on physical activity in adolescents. Ann Epidemiol. 2009;19(3):180–6.
- <span id="page-9-12"></span>46. Head JR, Collender PA, Lewnard JA, Skaff NK, Li L, Cheng Q, Baker JM, Li C, Chen DH, Ohringer A, Liang S, Yang CH, Hubbard A, Lopman B, Remais JV. Early evidence of inactivated enterovirus 71 Vaccine Impact against Hand, Foot, and Mouth Disease in a Major Center of Ongoing Transmission in China, 2011–2018: a longitudinal Surveillance Study. Clin Infect Dis. 2020;71(12):3088–95.

#### **Publisher's Note**

Springer Nature remains neutral with regard to jurisdictional claims in published maps and institutional affiliations.