SHORT REPORT

Open Access



Lst1 deficiency has a minor impact on course and outcome of the host response to influenza A H1N1 infections in mice

Sarah R. Leist^{1,2}, Heike Kollmus^{1,2}, Bastian Hatesuer^{1,2}, Ruth L. O. Lambertz^{1,2} and Klaus Schughart^{1,2,3*}

Abstract

Background: Previously, we performed a quantitative trait locus (QTL) mapping study in BXD recombinant inbred mice to identify host genetic factors that confer resistance to influenza A virus infection. We found *Lst1* (leukocyte specific transcript 1) as one of the most promising candidate genes in the Qivr17-2 locus because it is non-functional in DBA/2 J mice. Several studies have proposed that LST1 plays a role in the immune response to inflammatory diseases in humans and has additional immune-regulatory functions. Here, we evaluated the relevance of LST1 for the host response to influenza A infection in B6-*Lst1*^{-/-} mutant mice.

Findings: To investigate the role of LST1, we infected B6-*Lst1^{-/-}* mutant and C57BL/6 N wild-type mice with a low-virulent influenza A virus (PR8M; H1N1). *Lst1* deficient mice exhibited significantly increased body weight loss at days 5 and 6 after infection and slightly increased lethality compared to infected wild-type mice. Determination of viral loads, histopathological examination and analysis of immune cell composition in bronchoalveolar lavage of infected lungs did not reveal any obvious differences between KO and wild-type mice.

Conclusions: The absence of *Lst1* leads to a slightly more susceptible phenotype. However, deletion of *Lst1* in DBA/2 J mice alone does not explain the high susceptibility of this strain to PR8M influenza infections.

Keywords: Influenza A virus, Lst1, KO mouse mutant, Animal model

Findings

Each year, about 500 million people are infected by influenza A virus worldwide, of which about 500,000 die. We and others showed that the genetic background strongly influences the course and outcome of influenza A virus infections in different mouse inbred strains [1–4]. To identify genes that influence resistance or susceptibility to influenza A infections, we performed a genome-wide quantitative mapping study using the BXD recombinant inbred strains derived from C57BL/6 J and DBA/2 J. When infected with a low virulent isolate of PR8 influenza A virus (designated PR8M), DBA/2 J mice are highly susceptible and die within 5–7 days post infection (p.i.), whereas C57BL/6 J mice survive [1, 5]. We found about 30 candidate genes across five QTL regions [2]. One of the

* Correspondence: Klaus.Schughart@helmholtz-hzi.de

²University of Veterinary Medicine Hannover, Hannover, Germany Full list of author information is available at the end of the article



Bio Med Central

© 2016 Leist et al. **Open Access** This article is distributed under the terms of the Creative Commons Attribution 4.0 International License (http://creativecommons.org/licenses/by/4.0/), which permits unrestricted use, distribution, and reproduction in any medium, provided you give appropriate credit to the original author(s) and the source, provide a link to the Creative Commons license, and indicate if changes were made. The Creative Commons Public Domain Dedication waiver (http://creativecommons.org/publicdomain/zero/1.0/) applies to the data made available in this article, unless otherwise stated.

¹Department of Infection Genetics, Helmholtz Centre for Infection Research, 38124 Braunschweig, Germany

during the innate and adaptive phase of the host response to influenza and peaked at the time point of T cell infiltration. Bio-GPS (http://biogps.org) expression studies show that *Lst1* was mainly expressed in immune cells including mast cells, macrophages and dendritic cells.

To further characterize the role of LST1 after influenza A infection, we studied the host response in a *Lst1* mouse knock-out (KO) model. The KO strain C57BL/6 N-*Lst1*^{tm1(KOMP)Vlcg} was created from the ES cell clone 12118A-B1 (obtained from the KOMP Repository; www.komp.org). It harbors a reporter-tagged deletion of the *Lst1* gene. We confirmed insertion of the targeting cassette into the coding region of exon two and three by PCR genotyping (Additional file 1: Figure S1A) and showed absence of transcripts in knock-out mice by reverse transcription PCR (Additional file 1: Figure S1B).

We then infected eight to twelve weeks old female C57BL/6 N-*Lst1*^{tm1(KOMP)Vlcg} as well as wild type control mice (C57BL/6 N) with 2×10^5 focus forming units (FFU) of the low-virulent mouse-adapted A/PuertoRico/8/34 H1N1 virus (PR8M; [5]) after anesthesia with intra-peritoneal

Page 2 of 5

injection with a mixture of 100 mg/ml Ketamine and 20 mg/ml Xylazine. All animal experiments were approved by an external committee and according the guidelines of the animal welfare law in Germany (Permit numbers: 33.942502-04-051/09, 3392 42502-04-13/1234). Changes in body weight and survival rates were monitored for 14 days p.i. (Fig. 1). Mice with a loss of more than 30 % of the starting body weight were euthanized and recorded as dead. Lst1 KO mice exhibited significantly increased body weight loss at days 5 and 6 p.i.. All wild-type mice survived the infection with PR8M virus whereas the KO mice showed a lethality of 20 %. However, this difference in survival was not statistical significant. No difference in survival and body weight loss was observed between wildtype and Lst1 KO mice after infection with another influenza A virus subtype (A/Hong Kong/01/68 H3N2, [12], Additional file 1: Figure S2). Furthermore, comparison of viral loads [5] between lungs of PR8M infected $Lst1^{-/-}$ and wild-type mice at day 3 and 5 p.i. did not reveal significant differences (Fig. 2). Histopathological analysis of infected lungs showed no obvious alteration in morphology and immune cell





Page 3 of 5



infiltration in KO mice compared to the wild-type controls (Fig. 3). To assess the magnitude and composition of immune cell infiltrates in the airways, we analyzed bron-choalveolar lavage (BAL). We were not able to detect significantly different amounts of immune cells neither in infected nor in mock-infected BAL fluids (Fig. 4).

In conclusion, B6-*Lst1*^{-/-} KO mice are slightly more susceptible to PR8M influenza A infection which is reflected in an increased body weight loss and slightly reduced survival rate. The susceptibility of KO mice is much less pronounced compared to DBA/2 J mice. Thus, our results show some contribution of Lst1 to susceptibility of DBA/2 J mice. However, its effect is rather small. Therefore, other genes with polymorphisms in Qivr17-2 as well as polymorphic genes in other QTL regions are contributing to the high susceptibility of DBA/2 J mice. Furthermore, it is conceivable that Lst1 has a major contribution by interacting with additional DBA/2 J alleles in Qivr17-2 or other QTLs that were mapped previously. These results emphasize the influence of different gene loci on the host response to influenza A H1N1 infection in mice.

There are numerous reports describing that LST1 plays an important role in the immune response to inflammatory diseases in humans [10, 13–15], in bacterial infections [10] and in signal transduction [16, 17]. It has been demonstrated that LST1 plays a crucial role for transmembrane cell to cell communication [18], which was shown to be important for the intercellular transport of bacteria



Fig. 3 Lungs of *Lst1* KO mice and C57BL/6 N wild-type mice did not show obvious histological differences after IAV challenge. Female C57BL/6 N-*Lst1*^{tm1(KOMP)/V/cg} (n = 3 / time point) and wild type (C57BL/6 N) mice (n = 3 / time point) were infected intra-nasally with 2×10^5 FFU PR8M in 20 µl PBS. Lung samples were taken on day 3 and 5 p.i.. Formalin-fixed and paraffin-embedded tissue samples were sectioned at 5 µm thickness and stained with either hematoxylin and eosin or with a monoclonal antibody against the nucleoprotein of influenza A virus (anti-NP) [26]. Similar amounts of infiltrating immune cells (**a**-**d**) and similar numbers of virus-infected cells (**e**-**h**) were observed at 3 and 5 days p. i. Immuno-histochemical staining with anti-NP antibody was detected mostly in bronchiolar regions on day 3 p.i. (**i** and **j**), whereas on day 5 p.i. virus did spread further into alveolar regions in both mouse strains (**k** and **l**). A-D: Hematoxylin and eosin staining (10x magnification); e-h: anti-NP immune-histochemical staining (20x magnification); H-L: anti-NP staining (60x magnification)



and retroviruses [19]. Furthermore, the expression of the *Lst1* gene was shown to be up-regulated in response to lipopolysaccharide, interferon- γ and bacterial infections [10].

The LST1 gene has been studied extensively at the gene and mRNA level [20], but the biological functions of the protein product are largely unknown. Overexpression of LST1 in several human cell lines leads to the formation of filopodia-like membrane protrusions [21]. Recently, it was proposed that LST1 promotes the formation of tunneling nanotubes [18]. Interestingly, it has been shown that several persistent viruses, like HIV and herpes viruses, are able to use those nanotubes for intercellular transfer [22-24]. This mechanism of viral spread has not been demonstrated for influenza A viruses. In addition, it was shown recently that tunneling nanotubes promote networking of immune cells and can mediate transfer of MHC class I molecules between distant cells [25]. This function might be disturbed in Lst1 KO mice leading to a slightly enhanced susceptibility to PR8M influenza A. We found in other mouse knock-out lines that the low-virulent PR8 virus (PR8M, [5]) is well suitable to detect even small differences in susceptibility. However, it is still possible that *Lst1* KO mice may exhibit a larger difference in phenotype when infected with another influenza virus subtype or variant.

To our knowledge the *Lst1* knock-out mouse model described here is the first in vivo model investigating the role of LST1 during influenza A infections. However, several open questions still remain with respect to the

many biological functions of LST1 in other contexts. The mouse model which we generated will help to elucidate also these other functions.

Additional file

Additional file 1: Figure S1. Targeting and genotyping strategy of the Lst1 KO strain. Mice were genotyped by using different primer pairs leading to PCR products which allowed the identification of wild-type (310 bp), KO (400 bp) and heterozygous animals (310 bp and 400 bp) (A) Primers (blue arrows) used for genotyping: Lst1-fwd: 5' - GTG CGT GCT CAG TCA CAC TA - 3'; Lst1-rev: 3' - AGG CCA ACA ATA AGT CCT TAC - 5'; neofwd: 3' - TCA TTC TCA GTA TTG TTT TGC C - 5'. Abbreviations: lacZ: ß-galactosidase coding sequence from the E.coli lacZ gene, hubiP: promoter from the human ubiquitin C gene, neor: coding sequence for neomycin phosphotransferase, p(A): polyadenylation signal, black arrow: direction of gene transcription, black boxes: Lst1 coding region. To confirm the knock-out of the Lst1 gene in C57BL/6 N-Lst1tm1(KOMP)Vlcg mice we performed reverse transcription. Figure S2. No difference in changes of body weight or survival rate between Lst1 KO and C57BL/6 J mice after infection with H3N2 influenza A virus Male C57BL/6 N-Lst1^t (n = 7) and C57BL/6 J mice (n = 10) were infected intranasally with 2x10³ FFU H3N2 virus (A/HK/01/68) in 20 µl PBS. Body weight (A) and survival (B) were determined for each day p.i. for a period of 14 days. Percent weight change is shown with reference to the starting body weight. Significances were calculated using non-parametric Mann Whitney U test. (p < 0.01 for day 1) and Logrank test for survival rates (not significant). (PDF 230 kb)

Competing interests

The authors declare that they have no competing interests.

Authors' contributions

SRL, HK and KS conceived the experiments and wrote the manuscript. SRL and BH performed the experiments; BH generated the *Lst1* mutant mice from cryopreserved sperm. RLOL determined viral load in lung homogenates. All authors read and approved the final manuscript.

Acknowledgements

We would like to thank Peter R.W.A. van Run (Department of Viroscience, Erasmus Medical Center, Rotterdam) for supporting the preparation of the histological slides. This work was supported by intra-mural grants from the Helmholtz-Association (Program Infection and Immunity), a research grant FluResearchNet (No. 01Kl07137) and a research grant 'Infection challenge in the German Mouse Clinic' from the German Ministry of Education and Research to KS. The work described here was part of a PhD thesis work by SRL at the University of Veterinary Medicine Hannover, Germany.

Author details

¹Department of Infection Genetics, Helmholtz Centre for Infection Research, 38124 Braunschweig, Germany. ²University of Veterinary Medicine Hannover, Hannover, Germany. ³University of Tennessee Health Science Center, Memphis, Tennessee, United States of America.

Received: 12 November 2015 Accepted: 19 January 2016

References

- Srivastava B, Blazejewska P, Hessmann M, Bruder D, Geffers R, Mauel S, et al. Host genetic background strongly influences the response to influenza a virus infections. PLoS One. 2009;4:e4857.
- Nedelko T, Kollmus H, Klawonn F, Spijker S, Lu L, Hessman M, et al. Distinct gene loci control the host response to influenza H1N1 virus infection in a time-dependent manner. BMC Genomics. 2012;13:411.
- Pica N, Iyer A, Ramos I, Bouvier NM, Fernandez-Sesma A, Garcia-Sastre A, et al. The DBA.2 mouse is susceptible to disease following infection with a broad, but limited, range of influenza A and B viruses. J Virol. 2011;85(23):12825–9.
- Boon AC, De Beauchamp J, Hollmann A, Luke J, Kotb M, Rowe S, et al. Host genetic variation affects resistance to infection with a highly pathogenic H5N1 influenza A virus in mice. J Virol. 2009;83:10417–26.
- Blazejewska P, Koscinski L, Viegas N, Anhlan D, Ludwig S, Schughart K. Pathogenicity of different PR8 influenza A virus variants in mice is determined by both viral and host factors. Virology. 2011;412:36–45.
- Tsuge I, Shen FW, Steinmetz M, Boyse EA. A gene in the H-2S:H-2D interval of the major histocompatibility complex which is transcribed in B cells and macrophages. Immunogenetics. 1987;26:378–80.
- de Baey A, Holzinger I, Scholz S, Keller E, Weiss EH, Albert E. Pvu II polymorphism in the primate homologue of the mouse B144 (LST-1). A novel marker gene within the tumor necrosis factor region. Hum Immunol. 1995;42:9–14.
- Holzinger I, de Baey A, Messer G, Kick G, Zwierzina H, Weiss EH. Cloning and genomic characterization of LST1: a new gene in the human TNF region. Immunogenetics. 1995;42:315–22.
- de Baey A, Fellerhoff B, Maier S, Martinozzi S, Weidle U, Weiss EH. Complex expression pattern of the TNF region gene LST1 through differential regulation, initiation, and alternative splicing. Genomics. 1997;45:591–600.
- Mulcahy H, O'Rourke KP, Adams C, Molloy MG, O'Gara F. LST1 and NCR3 expression in autoimmune inflammation and in response to IFN-gamma, LPS and microbial infection. Immunogenetics. 2006;57:893–903.
- Pommerenke C, Wilk E, Srivastava B, Schulze A, Novoselova N, Geffers R, et al. Global transcriptome analysis in influenza-infected mouse lungs reveals the kinetics of innate and adaptive host immune responses. PLoS One. 2012;7:e41169.
- Haller O, Arnheiter H, Lindenmann J. Natural, genetically determined resistance toward influenza virus in hemopoietic mouse chimeras. Role of mononuclear phagocytes. J Exp Med. 1979;150:117–26.
- Heidemann J, Kebschull M, Tepasse PR, Bettenworth D. Regulated expression of leukocyte-specific transcript (LST) 1 in human intestinal inflammation. Inflamm Res. 2014;63:513–7.
- Mewar D, Marinou I, Lee ME, Timms JM, Kilding R, Teare MD, et al. Haplotype-specific gene expression profiles in a telomeric major histocompatibility complex gene cluster and susceptibility to autoimmune diseases. Genes Immun. 2006;7:625–31.
- Nagy GR, Gyorffy B, Galamb O, Molnar B, Nagy B, Papp Z. Use of routinely collected amniotic fluid for whole-genome expression analysis of polygenic disorders. Clin Chem. 2006;52:2013–20.
- 16. Lehner B, Semple JI, Brown SE, Counsell D, Campbell RD, Sanderson CM. Analysis of a high-throughput yeast two-hybrid system and its use to

predict the function of intracellular proteins encoded within the human MHC class III region. Genomics. 2004;83:153–67.

- 17. Stepanek O, Draber P, Horejsi V. Palmitoylated transmembrane adaptor proteins in leukocyte signaling. Cell Signal. 2014;26:895–902.
- Schiller C, Diakopoulos KN, Rohwedder I, Kremmer E, von Toerne C, Ueffing M, et al. LST1 promotes the assembly of a molecular machinery responsible for tunneling nanotube formation. J Cell Sci. 2013;126:767–77.
- Davis DM, Sowinski S. Membrane nanotubes: dynamic long-distance connections between animal cells. Nat Rev Mol Cell Biol. 2008;9:431–6.
- Schiller C, Nowak C, Diakopoulos KN, Weidle UH, Weiss EH. An upstream open reading frame regulates LST1 expression during monocyte differentiation. PLoS One. 2014;9:e96245.
- Raghunathan A, Sivakamasundari R, Wolenski J, Poddar R, Weissman SM. Functional analysis of B144/LST1: a gene in the tumor necrosis factor cluster that induces formation of long filopodia in eukaryotic cells. Exp Cell Res. 2001;268:230–44.
- Eugenin EA, Gaskill PJ, Berman JW. Tunneling nanotubes (TNT) are induced by HIV-infection of macrophages: a potential mechanism for intercellular HIV trafficking. Cell Immunol. 2009;254:142–8.
- Sherer NM, Lehmann MJ, Jimenez-Soto LF, Horensavitz C, Pypaert M, Mothes W. Retroviruses can establish filopodial bridges for efficient cell-to-cell transmission. Nat Cell Biol. 2007;9:310–5.
- Sowinski S, Jolly C, Berninghausen O, Purbhoo MA, Chauveau A, Kohler K, et al. Membrane nanotubes physically connect T cells over long distances presenting a novel route for HIV-1 transmission. Nat Cell Biol. 2008;10:211–9.
- Schiller C, Huber JE, Diakopoulos KN, Weiss EH. Tunneling nanotubes enable intercellular transfer of MHC class I molecules. Hum Immunol. 2013;74:412–6.
- Rimmelzwaan GF, Kuiken T, van Amerongen G, Bestebroer TM, Fouchier RA, Osterhaus AD. Pathogenesis of influenza A (H5N1) virus infection in a primate model. J Virol. 2001;75:6687–91.

Submit your next manuscript to BioMed Central and we will help you at every step:

- We accept pre-submission inquiries
- Our selector tool helps you to find the most relevant journal
- We provide round the clock customer support
- Convenient online submission
- Thorough peer review
- Inclusion in PubMed and all major indexing services
- Maximum visibility for your research

Submit your manuscript at www.biomedcentral.com/submit

